

---

## Pediatric Advanced Appendicitis

---

*Obinna Obinwa<sup>1,\*</sup>, IMRCS, MCh and Colin Peirce<sup>1</sup>, IMRCS, MD*  
<sup>1</sup>Royal College of Surgeons, Ireland

### Abstract

*Introduction:* Advanced appendicitis (perforation, mass, or abscess) is a significant cause of morbidity in children. This chapter reviews the risk factors for and the management of children with advanced appendicitis and associated complications.

*Methods:* A search of the literature was conducted and manual cross-referencing was performed.

*Results:* The incidence of perforation and outcomes vary according to age, gender, and geographical region. Advanced appendicitis is unlikely in the presence of a normal white blood cell (WBC) or C-reactive protein (CRP) measurement. The presence of fever, symptom duration > 24h, generalized abdominal tenderness, rebound tenderness and or rigidity, hypoactive and/or absent bowel sounds, right lower quadrant mass, leukocytosis, and fecalith on CT scans may suggest advanced appendicitis. Age, increased BMI, diarrhea, inadequate antibiotic therapy, and certain microbial isolates may predispose an individual to an increased risk of post-appendectomy complications.

*Discussion:* Non-operative, operative, and postoperative management strategies in the treatment of pediatric advanced appendicitis are discussed. The key to reducing complications is early diagnosis of advanced appendicitis, which is aided by robust decision-making, biomarker analysis, and the judicious use of imaging.

*Conclusion:* An up-to-date review of the risk factors for and management of children with advanced appendicitis and complications is presented.

Conflicts of interest: None

---

\* Corresponding author: Mr Obinna Obinwa, Department of Surgery, Mater Misericordiae University Hospital, Dublin. E-mail: obinnaobinwa@rcsi.ie.

## Introduction

This chapter will focus upon the risk factors, diagnosis and management of pediatric (excluding neonatal) advanced appendicitis. By definition, advanced appendicitis includes perforation of the appendix, as well as the presence of an appendicular mass or a peri-appendicular abscess. [1] Perforation refers to a hole in the appendix or the presence of a fecalith in the abdomen. [2] Advanced appendicitis in children leads to an increased risk of postoperative complications (wound infection, intra-abdominal infection, ileus, pneumonia, and urinary tract infection), prolonged length of stay, prolonged analgesic and antibiotic use, increased readmission rate, and increased mortality. [3-10] As advanced appendicitis leads to complications, the primary focus of management should be on early recognition and diagnosis. [11] An early diagnostic strategy may allow for triage with limited resources and informed discussions of the expected pre-, peri- and postoperative clinical course. [12]

## Epidemiology and Risk Factors

Advanced appendicitis is a significant health burden. [13] The worldwide incidence of acute appendicitis is estimated to be 86 annual cases per 100,000 people, with approximately 70,000 pediatric cases in the United States alone. [8, 14] The incidence of perforation ranges from 20% [15] to 76% [6, 7] of cases in the pediatric population and masses are palpable on presentation in less than 10% [16] of cases. Post-appendectomy complication rates range from 6.4% [15] to 29.3% [17] with perforation accounting for the majority of those cases. [18-20] Mortality from appendectomies is estimated at 0.5 per 100,000 people. [21]

The incidence of perforation varies according to age, gender and geographical location. [4, 22] For example, African Americans are at an increased risk of perforation compared with Hispanics. [12, 23] Males are more commonly affected than females, while the incidence of perforation is greatest in infants (in one unique series [6], a perforation rate of 100% was reported). [15] The incidence of perforation decreases with the increasing age of children and perforation rates of 51–74% are reported in the 0–5 year old group, 32% among 6-9 year olds, and 27% in the 10 – 14 age group. [5, 6] In another series, one third of patients under the age of three presented with an appendicular mass. [24]

The incidence of perforated appendicitis in children is also influenced by other factors, including access to care and patient-level factors, i.e. socioeconomic status, insurance status, and race. [25-27] However, recent studies show that the health impacts of race and socioeconomic status vanish with equal access to care. [28, 29] Children with a perforation are much more likely to have been initially referred to a pediatrician rather than to a surgeon. [30] There may be an association between obesity and an increased risk of perforation, but not to post-appendectomy complications. [31] There may also be a role played by genetics and familial tendencies. [32]

---

## Pathway to Advanced Appendicitis

The classical pathogenesis of appendicitis is well established. [12, 33, 34] The initiating event is luminal obstruction, typically caused by lymphoid hyperplasia or an obstructing fecalith, and can also be less commonly caused by diet [35-37] and infections. [38-42] The luminal obstruction results in bacterial stasis and overgrowth with resultant distension of the blind-ending appendix (acute appendicitis). With sufficient luminal distension, the intraluminal pressure of the appendix exceeds that of its arterial inflow, resulting in ischemia of the wall (gangrenous appendicitis). A perforation occurs at the site of ischemia with subsequent spillage of pus or a fecalith. The perforation is more likely to be in the presence of and at the site of an obstructing fecalith. [43, 44] The omentum or the small bowel become adherent to the site of perforation and an appendix mass or phlegmon develops. [45] Abscess formation ensues as part of a normal host response to perforation or as a complication of an appendix mass. [12, 46]

The aforementioned description suggests that appendicitis is a progressive condition and thus, delay in presentation or diagnosis will lead to advanced appendicitis. [30, 47-52] Another hypothesis is that a higher perforation rate is found in younger children because of their uniform response to many illnesses and relative inability to express themselves and cooperate. [53] In clinical practice, not all patients with appendicitis will progress to perforation and appendicitis that resolves itself may indeed be a common event. [11, 54] It is highly likely, therefore, that the progression or spontaneous resolution of appendicitis depends on the host response to infection. [11, 55]

## Gangrenous Appendicitis

Gangrenous appendicitis had previously been included in the definition of advanced appendicitis. [56] The intestinal lining is intact and is therefore associated with a lower postoperative abscess rate than perforation, abscess, or phlegmon. [56] In contradistinction to the latter, it elicits a divergent immune response. [54, 55] Most cases are treated with resection, requiring only 24 hours of post-operative antibiotics. [56]

## Pre-Operative Prediction of Advanced Appendicitis

### Clinical Predictors

The risk of perforation increases with a duration of the illness greater than 24 hours. [57] Patients less than eight years of age and male children are more at risk of advanced appendicitis. [15, 58] A history of vomiting, migration of pain, fever, and aggravation of right iliac fossa pain by movement is also associated with advanced appendicitis. [58] The 'clinical findings' of a deterioration in general condition, rebound tenderness, abdominal tenderness, muscular tenderness, indirect tenderness, and peritonism also predict advanced appendicitis. [58] Diffuse abdominal tenderness is a non-specific finding. [6] Other associations include a

higher oral and rectal temperature, abdominal rigidity, hypoactive and/or absent bowel sounds, and the presence of a palpable right lower quadrant mass. [57, 59, 60] In very young children (under three years), fever, diarrhea, and abdominal distension may often represent a late presentation of perforation. [61]

The 'clinical findings' on examination have better discriminatory power than the history variables in terms of discriminating for advanced appendicitis with receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve areas of 0.78 to 0.84. [58] Based on the likelihood ratios (LR), advanced appendicitis is unlikely if the patient did not have a fever before the admission (LR 0.32) or if the duration of symptoms was shorter than six hours (LR 0.32). [58] The diagnosis of appendicitis should be doubted when anorexia, nausea, and vomiting are absent, when symptoms have persisted for more than 72 hours without apparent deterioration, or when tenderness in the right iliac fossa is absent. [53] A diagnostic dilemma often occurs in children who are on antibiotic treatment for a presumed respiratory or urinary tract infection or who are suffering from gastroenteritis. [30, 50] Surgical consultation is warranted when the diagnosis is in doubt. [30]

### Adjunct Laboratory Tests

Clinical assessment is the subjective appraisal of a patient's reaction to a surgeon's examination. [62] The process cannot be standardized and there is low inter-observer reliability of examination findings. [63, 64] In practice, the distinction by clinical criteria alone is often insufficient and diagnosis, in many cases, will be supported by inflammatory variables and laboratory tests. [58, 62] The inflammatory variables are defined as the white blood cell (WBC) count, peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PMNC) count, PMNC ratio, body temperature, and C-reactive protein (CRP) concentration. [58] Using these criteria, Anderson et al. [58] showed that advanced appendicitis is highly unlikely at the lowest levels of inflammatory variables (WBC <  $8 \times 10^9/L$ , PMNC rate < 70 %, PMNC count <  $7.0 \times 10^9/L$ , CRP < 10 mg/L, temperature < 37.7 °C) (LR 0.03 – 0.22) and far more likely at the highest levels (WBC  $\geq 15 \times 10^9/L$ , PMNC rate  $\geq 85$  %, PMNC count  $\geq 13 \times 10^9/L$ , CRP  $\geq 50$  mg/L and temperature  $\geq 38.5$  °C) (LR 3.44 – 11.15). [58]

A meta-analysis shows that the WBC and granulocyte count, as well as the CRP level, have a stronger discriminatory capacity for perforated appendicitis (ROC area of 0.85 to 0.87 versus 0.78 to 0.75 for appendicitis). [62] Furthermore, high WBC and granulocyte count and an increased CRP concentration are relatively strong predictors of perforated appendicitis, with a  $LR^+$  of up to 7.20. [62] The study also showed that perforated appendicitis is very unlikely in patients with a low WBC and granulocyte count and a CRP reading of less than 10g/dl, with an  $LR^-$  of 0.20 to 0.11. [62]

While the utility of WBC in predicting advanced appendicitis may have been questioned in small retrospective studies, [65, 66] the current evidence from meta-analysis data reveal that a perforation is unlikely when both the white blood cell count and the CRP are normal. [62] Other potential laboratory tests for advanced appendicitis will include serum bilirubin

levels, [67] interleukin-6, [68] erythrocyte sedimentation rate, [69] and plasma D-lactic acid [70] assays. The sensitivity and specificity of bilirubin in predicting appendicular perforation range from 38% to 77% and 70% to 87%, respectively. Gofrit et al. [59] reported an association between perforation and an elevated platelet count and low hemoglobin level, but the diagnostic accuracy of these two parameters cannot be ascertained.

## Adjunct Radiological Tests

Advances in imaging have improved diagnostic accuracy over clinical judgment alone for the diagnosis of appendicitis. [71] Signs on a computerized tomography (CT) scan that suggest perforation include defects within the appendicular wall, abscess, extraluminal air, phlegmon, ileus, and the presence of an extraluminal fecalith. [23, 72, 73] Each of these CT findings has a specificity of more than 95% for perforations. [73, 74] However, except for cases where multi-detector CT scans have shown a defect in the contrast material-enhanced appendicular wall (sensitivity 95%; accuracy 96.1%), [73] the sensitivity of the other radiological findings are only between 34% and 53%. [73, 74] CT requires contrast administration and patient sedation. [27] Furthermore, the use of this modality in the diagnosis of pediatric advanced appendicitis is not without potential risk to the patient. [75-79] A single abdominal CT study in a 5-year-old child would result in a lifetime risk of radiation-induced cancer of 26.1 per 100,000 in females and 20.4 per 100,000 in male patients. [80] These estimates are derived from probabilistic models designed with data from atomic bomb survivors. [80, 81]

In one retrospective study, the sonographic findings associated with an appendicular perforation were abscess (sensitivity 36.2%; specificity 99%), loss of echogenic submucosal layer of the appendix in a child younger than 8 years old (sensitivity 100%; specificity 72%), and the presence of an appendicolith in a child younger than 8 years old (sensitivity 68.4%; specificity 91.7%). [82] An ultrasound offers the benefit of no radiation exposure, but it is operator-dependent and sensitivity is very limited in a child with a body mass index (BMI)  $\geq 25$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>. [83] Ultrasound scanning is also useful if a mass has been identified on clinical examination and the findings aid in the decision to proceed, for example, with conservative management, operative intervention, or radiological drainage if an abscess were present. [75]

## Pre-Operative Predictors of Complications

There is little data that can help to forecast which patients are more likely to develop a postoperative abscess. [84] Postoperative infective complications (abscesses and wound infection) are more likely to occur when the primary antibiotic is inadequate for controlling the offending microorganisms. [85] Based on retrospective analysis of isolates from peritoneal cultures and their relationship to complications, it is obvious that in order to reduce the incidence of complications, the initial broad-spectrum antibiotics should especially cover for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, [86, 87] *Streptococcus milleri* [88] and amoxicillin-clavulanate resistant strains of *Escherichia coli*. [85, 89] Retrospective analysis of data from a prospectively collected dataset in children also showed that the risk of postoperative abscess increases with age, weight, body mass index, and diarrhea at presentation. [84]

## Management of Advanced Appendicitis

### Diagnosis

The morbidity rate from perforation demands that appendicitis be diagnosed promptly in children with abdominal pain. [11, 90] Robust decision-making that incorporates clinical evaluation, biomarker results, and judicious use of imaging is also required. [71] Table 1 presents the key clinical features that may help in the identification of an ‘at risk’ patient. A high index of clinical suspicion is required in presentations with extra-abdominal disorders, such as pneumonia and pharyngitis, as well as in patients who are already on antibiotic treatment for a respiratory or urinary tract infection. [91, 92] A good technique for eliciting clinical signs in children with appendicitis starts with close observation of the child, soothing and distracting their attention. [91] Peritoneal signs become evident if the parent bounces the child up and down, [91] but urinalysis should always be performed. [93] In cases where the classical symptoms and signs are not apparent, the use of ultrasonography is recommended. [30] The overlap of clinical assessment and sonography may give a diagnostic accuracy of more than 80%. [94] The ideal diagnostic imaging strategy should be a staged approach using an ultrasound followed by limited computer tomography, if the ultrasound is inconclusive. [71, 95-97] Specific CT imaging protocols designed to reduce radiation exposure should be employed if the CT is clinically warranted. [91] MRIs are also being evaluated as another diagnostic modality. [98]

**Table 1. Potential predictors of Advanced Appendicitis and Complications in Children [6, 12, 15, 57-60, 70, 84, 85, 164]**

<b>Predictors of advanced appendicitis</b>
Symptom duration > 24-48 hours
Age < 8-9 years
African-American
Anorexia
Vomiting
Temperature > 38 °C
Presence of diarrhea
Generalized Abdominal Tenderness
Rebound RLQ Tenderness
Localized RLQ tenderness
Rigidity
Hypoactive bowel sounds
Right lower quadrant mass
WBC > 19,400 cells/microL
Fecalith on CT
Extraluminal air on CT
Abscess on CT
Plasma D-lactic acid > 2.5 mg/dl
ESR > 25 mm/h
Increased band neutrophils
Age
BMI
Diarrhea at presentation
Inadequate empirical antibiotics
Isolated organism on culture

## Analgesia

Analgesia should be administered early. Studies including randomized controlled trials show that opioid administration at a dose of 0.05-0.1 mg/kg intravenously does not seem to be associated with a higher risk of management errors. [99-102] In simple terms, analgesia at presentation does not result in an increase of time to the surgical decision for the disposition of patients, [103] potential for loss of peritoneal signs, [100] and does not reduce the surgeons' confidence in their diagnosis. [101]

## Empirical Antibiotics

Combination antibiotics [104] were formerly recommended, but recent trials recommend monotherapy with source organism control or single daily regimens (See Table 2). Selected antimicrobials must be effective against both aerobic and anaerobic microorganisms. [105] Predominant organisms include *Escherichia coli*, *Enterococcus*, *Bacteroides*, and *Pseudomonas* in decreasing order of frequency. [15] Other organisms will include *Streptococcal* species, [85, 88] *Clostridia*, *Peptostreptococcus*, *Enterobacter*, and *Klebsiella* species. [27] Less expensive broad-spectrum antibiotics may be effective against these microorganisms. [106] Adequate empirical treatment should be started preoperatively in order to minimize the risk of infective complications. [85]

**Table 2. Studies providing evidence for antibiotic treatment of appendicitis/peritonitis**

S/No	Protocol	Authors
1	Regular dose Ampicillin, Gentamicin and metronidazole	[104]
2	Regular dose Ampicillin, gentamicin and clindamycin	[165, 166]
3	Once daily ceftriaxone and metronidazole	[165]
4	Ticarcillin/clavulanate and Gentamycin	[167]
5	Piperacillin/Tazobactam monotherapy	[147, 168, 169]
6	Cefotaxime and metronidazole combination therapy	[170]
7	Co-amoxiclav, metronidazole and gentamicin therapy	[85]
8	Clindamycin and Ceftazidime	[121]

Scant data exists about optimal duration of post-operative antibiotic treatment. [56] Some authors advocate a predefined duration of antimicrobial treatment based on protocol rather than clinical criteria, whereas others discontinue antibiotics depending on the patient's clinical signs, regardless of the length of the patient's therapy. [56, 107] Empirical antibiotics should be continued for a minimum of 3 days after surgery for advanced appendicitis in children. [107] Treatment courses that last longer than 5-7 days are seldom required following source control. [108]

Subset analysis from a randomized trial shows that when patients are able to tolerate a regular diet, completing the course of antibiotics orally decreases hospitalization with no effect on the risk of postoperative abscess formation. [84]

## Operative Management Strategy

The management of advanced appendicitis in children is controversial. [46] Some surgeons advocate early appendectomy, preceded by a brief period of antibiotic administration and fluid resuscitation. [109] Many authors recommend non-operative management with antibiotics, followed by interval appendectomy, as their treatment of choice. [110-116] Finally, there are those that advocate for non-operative management, with antibiotics and no surgery. [117, 118] Indications for operative management include surgeon preference [105], the presence of fecalith within the abdomen on CT [119], involvement of multiple areas on the pre-operative CT scan [120] and failure of conservative management [121] (persistence of fever after 24 hours of conservative treatment and persistence of bowel obstruction after 72 hours of conservative management). A protocol of urgent, but not emergency, operation is advocated. [122, 123] Overall, the goals of treatment should be to minimize morbidity, costs, hospital stay length, and rate of readmission. [12]

## **Open Appendectomy versus Laparoscopic Appendectomy**

To reduce the risk of post-operative complications, surgeons carefully consider the surgical approach (open or laparoscopic). [106] The laparoscopic approach has been tried in all age groups, including infants [124] and toddlers [125]. The use of minimally invasive techniques in young children should be guided by the individual medical center's resources and protocols, as well as the surgeon's experience and technical expertise. [124, 126] To date, there have been no randomized controlled trials comparing the open and laparoscopic approaches in the management of perforated appendicitis, but multiple studies have established the feasibility and safety of laparoscopic appendectomies. [127] A laparoscopic appendectomy (LA), based on findings from a systematic review, may reduce overall morbidity of advanced appendicitis, but there is an increased risk of postoperative intra-abdominal abscess formation when compared to the open technique in the pediatric population. [17] More recent pediatric studies suggest that LAs do not appear to affect the incidence of abscess formation and that patients with advanced appendicitis are more likely to develop intra-abdominal abscess, regardless of the chosen operative technique. [128] Using the laparoscopic approach for interval appendectomy also has the advantages of minimal morbidity and a very short hospital stay. [129, 130] The operating time is similar to the open procedure. [130]



## Intra-Operative Considerations

In cases where a palpable mass is identified under general anaesthesia, some authors advocate a trial of conservative management with no complications in their published series. [110] If surgery is undertaken for advanced appendicitis, the surgeon may encounter an extremely inflamed appendix and caecum, with densely adherent, fragile loops of bowel. [27] In this situation, it may be difficult to safely perform an appendectomy, as injury to other abdominal structures may occur. [27, 131] The surgeon may choose to back out and continue antibiotic treatment or else proceed with what will be a difficult operation. The routine use of surgical drains has not proved particularly useful except perhaps in cases of walled-off abscess cavities. [132, 133] Peritoneal cultures should be obtained if the patient has developed an abscess following antibiotic treatment, because the initial antibiotics may have selected resistant organisms. [27] All fecaliths identified on the preoperative scan must be removed, as a retained fecalith will result in an intra-abdominal abscess that is unlikely to be resolved with antibiotics and drainage alone. [134-137]

Evidence from randomized prospective trials shows that stump closure during laparoscopy may be either by a stapling device or endooids and report no significant difference in morbidity between the techniques in children, particularly with regard to incidence of postoperative intra-abdominal abscess formation. [138] Similar trials also show that pus in the peritoneal cavity can be removed during laparoscopy by suction alone or by irrigation and suctioning, and there is no advantage of one technique over the other. [139] An injection of bupivacaine into the wound significantly reduces postoperative pain. [140] Operative time has no influence on postoperative abscess development. [84]

## Wound Closure

Based on reports from Grosfeld [141] and Cruise and Ford [142], surgeons have tended to leave the skin and subcutaneous tissues open in subjects with perforated appendicitis using techniques of delayed primary and secondary closure. [106] This technique, which has been used for subjects of all ages, is much more appropriate for adults, because children experience higher morbidity in the form of pain and anxiety from dressing change than adults. [106] Pediatric surgeons, therefore, would recommend primary closure of all appendectomy wounds in children. [106, 122, 132, 143-147] Infection rates of 0% [143] to 11% [144] have been reported in series of primary closed wounds in children with perforated appendicitis. In one retrospective study, there was no significant difference in wound infection rates related to the method of wound management (primary closure versus skin and subcutaneous tissues left open versus povidone iodine wicks). [106]

## Post-Operative Consideration

Septic shock is common, but usually responds promptly to antibiotics, fluids, and other supportive measures. [27] Superficial wound infection rates of 2.4% [148] to 11% [144] and an intra-abdominal abscess rate of 4.4% [148] may also occur, despite using standard prophylactic antibiotics and protocols for wound closure, drains, and surgical discharge. [148, 149] Clinicians should, therefore, warn the parents about this possibility on discharge and advise carers on how to get help.

The use of laboratory evaluation as a component of discharge criteria in advanced appendicitis can stratify a subset of patients who are at increased risk of developing an intra-abdominal abscess and may benefit from continued antibiotic therapy. Postoperative intravenous antibiotics should be continued until patients are afebrile for 24 hours and have a WBC count of less than 12,000/mm<sup>3</sup>. [106] The absence of leukocytosis on discharge is associated with a decreased risk of post-appendectomy intra-abdominal abscess formation. [150]

A subset analysis from a prospective randomized trial shows that each successive postoperative day with a fever while on intravenous antibiotics is incrementally more predictive of abscess formation and that also, an increased white blood cell count on day 5 may be highly predictive of abscess formation. [84]

The actual diagnosis of postoperative intra-abdominal abscess is made on clinical and radiological grounds and management varies according to the age of the child. [5] A specific radiological search must be made for a retained fecalith in refractory cases and if present, it must be removed by open, laparoscopic, or percutaneous technique. [134, 136] Older children are more likely to require percutaneous abscess drainage and younger children are treated non-operatively, provided that the intra-abdominal abscess was not the result of a retained fecalith. [5]

### Non-Operative Management Strategy

Conservative management involves treatment with intravenous antibiotics and close observation of the clinical course of the patient with the early identification of signs of deterioration. [46] During treatment, children are allowed oral fluids and diet, as tolerated and intravenous antibiotics are changed to oral administration as the child's condition improves. [46] Suitable patients for non-operative management include those patients with symptom duration of more than five days. [151] Antibiotic treatment is indicated for perforated appendicitis with a localized abscess or phlegmon and in selected high-risk surgical patients. [152] For treatment to continue, the WBC count should fall by at least 25% within three to four days of treatment. [153] Successful treatment implies that there is no abdominal pain and the pulse rate and temperature have both returned to normal for at least 48 hours. [46] Intervention is advised if there is an incomplete response after 5 days of conservative treatment. [110] Overall, treatment may fail in 5% to 40% of cases, which results in increased morbidity. [113, 151, 153]

**Table 3. Summary of Management of Advanced Appendicitis**

<b>Diagnosis</b>
History and Examination
Urinalysis
Laboratory Tests +/- Imaging (US +/- CT)
<b>Management</b>
Analgesia
IV Fluids
Appropriate Empirical Antibiotics
Choice of approach (conservative, open, or laparoscopic)
Technical considerations
<b>Discharge and criteria</b>
Afebrile x 24 h
Wcc < 12,000/microL
Able to tolerate oral feeds

## Percutaneous Drainage

Percutaneous drainage is preferable to formal surgical drainage in patients not improving with conservative management and results in a shorter length of hospital stay. [154, 155] It is also an effective primary approach in children presenting with prolonged symptoms and discrete appendicular abscess or phlegmon when treatment is followed by an interval appendectomy. [156] The technique for drainage needs to be carefully selected and the radiation dose minimized due to the increased risk for children. [157] An ultrasound-guided drainage is the preferred approach if deemed suitable.

**Table 4. Microorganisms associated with peritonitis due to pediatric appendicitis**  
[15, 27, 85, 88]

<b>Anaerobes</b>
Bacteroides
Clostridial spp
Peptostreptococcus species
<b>Gram-negative aerobes</b>
Escherichia coli
Pseudomonas aeruginosa
Enterobacter
Klebsiella
<b>Gram-positive organism (less common)</b>
Enterococcus
Streptococcus milleri
Group F streptococci
B haemolytic streptococci

## Interval Appendectomy

There is no consensus as to whether an appendix associated with a mass that has responded to conservative management should subsequently be removed. [45, 46, 158-161] The evidence is that there is a 5% to 37% risk of recurrent appendicitis and morbidity following conservative management of advanced appendicitis. [114-116] These cases of recurrent appendicitis usually occur within months of initial presentation. [161] Some authors advocate an interval appendectomy in patients with persistent symptoms following recovery from the initial episode and those with a slow resolution of the appendicular inflammatory mass or an ultrasonographic image of an appendicolith. [162] All patients should be told that appendicitis may recur following conservative management and should be encouraged to seek early medical attention when this occurs. [161] Another argument potentially in favour of interval appendectomy is to outrule the presence of malignancy, especially a potential carcinoid tumour, which may be seen in approximately 2 cases per 1000 pediatric appendectomy specimens. [163]

## Conclusion

Pediatric advanced appendicitis remains a significant cause of morbidity. The initiating event is usually luminal obstruction by a fecalith. Perforation rates vary according to age, gender, and geographical region. Presentation is often in the form of known symptoms and signs of peritonitis, but atypical presentations may also occur. Patients with increased body mass index and pre-operative diarrhea may be at a higher risk of postoperative complications. The key to reducing complications is an early diagnosis of advanced appendicitis, which is arrived at by a combination of robust decision-making, biomarker analysis, and the judicious use of imaging. Non-operative, operative, and postoperative management strategies based on all the evidence have been described.

## References

- [1] Klempa I. [Current therapy of complicated appendicitis]. *Chirurg*. [Comparative Study Review]. 2002 Aug;73(8):799-804.
- [2] St Peter SD, Sharp SW, Holcomb GW, 3rd, Ostlie DJ. An evidence-based definition for perforated appendicitis derived from a prospective randomized trial. *J Pediatr Surg*. 2008 Dec;43(12):2242-5.
- [3] Esposito C, Calvo AI, Castagnetti M, Alicchio F, Suarez C, Giurin I, et al. Open versus laparoscopic appendectomy in the pediatric population: a literature review and analysis of complications. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A*. [Comparative Study Review]. 2012 Oct;22(8):834-9.
- [4] Cheong LH, Emil S. Outcomes of pediatric appendicitis: an international comparison of the States and Canada. *JAMA Surg*. [Comparative Study]. 2014 Jan;149(1):50-5.

- 
- [5] Lee SL, Stark R, Yaghoubian A, Shekherdimian S, Kaji A. Does age affect the outcomes and management of pediatric appendicitis? *J Pediatr Surg*. [Comparative Study Multicenter Study]. 2011 Dec;46(12):2342-5.
- [6] Nance ML, Adamson WT, Hedrick HL. Appendicitis in the young child: a continuing diagnostic challenge. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2000 Jun;16(3):160-2.
- [7] Newman K, Ponsky T, Kittle K, Dyk L, Throop C, Giesecker K, et al. Appendicitis 2000: variability in practice, outcomes, and resource utilization at thirty pediatric hospitals. *J Pediatr Surg*. [Comparative Study Multicenter Study]. 2003 Mar;38(3):372-9; discussion -9.
- [8] Sivit CJ, Siegel MJ, Applegate KE, Newman KD. When appendicitis is suspected in children. *Radiographics*. [Review]. 2001 Jan-Feb;21(1):247-62; questionnaire 88-94.
- [9] Wilson EB. Surgical evaluation of appendicitis in the new era of radiographic imaging. *Semin Ultrasound CT MR*. [Review]. 2003 Apr;24(2):65-8.
- [10] Fraser JD, Aguayo P, Leys CM, Keckler SJ, Newland JG, Sharp SW, et al. A complete course of intravenous antibiotics vs a combination of intravenous and oral antibiotics for perforated appendicitis in children: a prospective, randomized trial. *J Pediatr Surg*. [Comparative Study Randomized Controlled Trial]. 2010 Jun;45(6):1198-202.
- [11] Andersson RE. The natural history and traditional management of appendicitis revisited: spontaneous resolution and predominance of prehospital perforations imply that a correct diagnosis is more important than an early diagnosis. *World J Surg*. 2007 Jan;31(1):86-92.
- [12] Gosain A, Williams RF, Blakely ML. Distinguishing acute from ruptured appendicitis preoperatively in the pediatric patient. *Adv Surg*. [Review]. 2010;44:73-85.
- [13] Andersen SB, Paerregaard A, Larsen K. Changes in the epidemiology of acute appendicitis and appendectomy in Danish children 1996-2004. *Eur J Pediatr Surg*. 2009 Oct;19(5):286-9.
- [14] Birnbaum BA, Wilson SR. Appendicitis at the millennium. *Radiology*. [Review]. 2000 May;215(2):337-48.
- [15] Pearl RH, Hale DA, Molloy M, Schutt DC, Jaques DP. Pediatric appendectomy. *J Pediatr Surg*. [Multicenter Study]. 1995 Feb;30(2):173-8; discussion 8-81.
- [16] Puri P, O'Donnell B. Management of appendiceal mass in children. *Pediatr Surg Int*. 1989;4(5):306-8.
- [17] Markar SR, Blackburn S, Cobb R, Karthikesalingam A, Evans J, Kinross J, et al. Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy for complicated and uncomplicated appendicitis in children. *J Gastrointest Surg*. [Comparative Study Meta-Analysis Review]. 2012 Oct;16(10):1993-2004.
- [18] Schwartz MZ, Tapper D, Solenberger RI. Management of perforated appendicitis in children. The controversy continues. *Ann Surg*. 1983 Apr;197(4):407-11.
- [19] Stilling NM, Fristrup C, Gabers T, Qvist N, Rasmussen L. Acceptable outcome after laparoscopic appendectomy in children. *Dan Med J*. 2013 Jan;60(1):A4564.
- [20] Harrison MW, Lindner DJ, Campbell JR, Campbell TJ. Acute appendicitis in children: factors affecting morbidity. *Am J Surg*. [Comparative Study]. 1984 May;147(5):605-10.
- [21] Stewart B, Khanduri P, McCord C, Ohene-Yeboah M, Uranues S, Vega Rivera F, et al. Global disease burden of conditions requiring emergency surgery. *Br J Surg*. [Review]. 2014 Jan;101(1):e9-22.

- 
- [22] Close GR, Rushworth RL, Rob MI. Paediatric appendicectomy in NSW: changes in practice over time and between groups. *J Qual Clin Pract.* 1995 Mar;15(1):29-36.
- [23] Williams RF, Blakely ML, Fischer PE, Streck CJ, Dassinger MS, Gupta H, et al. Diagnosing ruptured appendicitis preoperatively in pediatric patients. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2009 May;208(5):819-25; discussion 26-8.
- [24] Puri P, Boyd E, Guiney EJ, O'Donnell B. Appendix mass in the very young child. *J Pediatr Surg.* 1981 Feb;16(1):55-7.
- [25] Smink DS, Fishman SJ, Kleinman K, Finkelstein JA. Effects of race, insurance status, and hospital volume on perforated appendicitis in children. *Pediatrics.* [Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Research Support, U.S. Gov't, P.H.S.]. 2005 Apr;115(4):920-5.
- [26] Ponsky TA, Huang ZJ, Kittle K, Eichelberger MR, Gilbert JC, Brody F, et al. Hospital- and patient-level characteristics and the risk of appendiceal rupture and negative appendectomy in children. *Jama.* 2004 Oct 27;292(16):1977-82.
- [27] Morrow SE, Newman KD. Current management of appendicitis. *Semin Pediatr Surg.* [Review]. 2007 Feb;16(1):34-40.
- [28] Lee SL, Shekherdimian S, Chiu VY, Sydorak RM. Perforated appendicitis in children: equal access to care eliminates racial and socioeconomic disparities. *J Pediatr Surg.* [Comparative Study]. 2010 Jun;45(6):1203-7.
- [29] Lee SL, Shekherdimian S, Chiu VY. Effect of race and socioeconomic status in the treatment of appendicitis in patients with equal health care access. *Arch Surg.* [Comparative Study Multicenter Study]. 2011 Feb;146(2):156-61.
- [30] Cappendijk VC, Hazebroek FW. The impact of diagnostic delay on the course of acute appendicitis. *Arch Dis Child.* 2000 Jul;83(1):64-6.
- [31] Blanco FC, Sandler AD, Nadler EP. Increased incidence of perforated appendicitis in children with obesity. *Clin Pediatr (Phila).* [Evaluation Studies]. 2012 Oct;51(10):928-32.
- [32] Ergul E. Heredity and familial tendency of acute appendicitis. *Scand J Surg.* [Review]. 2007;96(4):290-2.
- [33] Fitz RH. Perforating inflammation of the vermiform appendix with special reference to its early diagnosis and treatment. *Am J Med Sci.* 1886;92:321-46.
- [34] Wangensteen OH, Dennis C. Experimental Proof of the Obstructive Origin of Appendicitis in Man. *Ann Surg.* 1939 Oct;110(4):629-47.
- [35] Adamidis D, Roma-Giannikou E, Karamolegou K, Tselalidou E, Constantopoulos A. Fiber intake and childhood appendicitis. *Int J Food Sci Nutr.* 2000 May;51(3):153-7.
- [36] Arnbjornsson E. Acute appendicitis and dietary fiber. *Arch Surg.* 1983 Jul;118(7):868-70.
- [37] Nelson M, Barker DJ, Winter PD. Dietary fibre and acute appendicitis: a case-control study. *Hum Nutr Appl Nutr.* 1984 Apr;38(2):126-31.
- [38] Andersson R, Hugander A, Thulin A, Nystrom PO, Olaison G. Clusters of acute appendicitis: further evidence for an infectious aetiology. *Int J Epidemiol.* 1995 Aug;24(4):829-33.
- [39] Morris J, Barker DJ, Nelson M. Diet, infection, and acute appendicitis in Britain and Ireland. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 1987 Mar;41(1):44-9.

- 
- [40] Attwood SE, Mealy K, Cafferkey MT, Buckley TF, West AB, Boyle N, et al. Yersinia infection and acute abdominal pain. *Lancet*. [Comparative Study]. 1987 Mar 7;1(8532):529-33.
- [41] Tobe T. Inapparent Virus Infection as a Trigger of Appendicitis. *Lancet*. 1965 Jun 26;1(7400):1343-6.
- [42] Barker DJ. Acute appendicitis and dietary fibre: an alternative hypothesis. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*. 1985 Apr 13;290(6475):1125-7.
- [43] Ein SH, Langer JC, Daneman A. Nonoperative management of pediatric ruptured appendix with inflammatory mass or abscess: presence of an appendicolith predicts recurrent appendicitis. *J Pediatr Surg*. 2005 Oct;40(10):1612-5.
- [44] Ishiyama M, Yanase F, Taketa T, Makidono A, Suzuki K, Omata F, et al. Significance of size and location of appendicoliths as exacerbating factor of acute appendicitis. *Emerg Radiol*. 2013 Apr;20(2):125-30.
- [45] Nitecki S, Assalia A, Schein M. Contemporary management of the appendiceal mass. *Br J Surg*. [Review]. 1993 Jan;80(1):18-20.
- [46] Gillick J, Velayudham M, Puri P. Conservative management of appendix mass in children. *Br J Surg*. 2001 Nov;88(11):1539-42.
- [47] Golladay ES, Sarrett JR. Delayed diagnosis in pediatric appendicitis. *South Med J*. 1988 Jan;81(1):38-42.
- [48] Ozguner IF, Buyukayavuz BI, Savas MC. The influence of delay on perforation in childhood appendicitis. A retrospective analysis of 58 cases. *Saudi Med J*. [Comparative Study]. 2004 Sep;25(9):1232-6.
- [49] Narsule CK, Kahle EJ, Kim DS, Anderson AC, Luks FI. Effect of delay in presentation on rate of perforation in children with appendicitis. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2010 Jul 12.
- [50] England RJ, Crabbe DC. Delayed diagnosis of appendicitis in children treated with antibiotics. *Pediatr Surg Int*. 2006 Jun;22(6):541-5.
- [51] Brender JD, Marcuse EK, Koepsell TD, Hatch EI. Childhood appendicitis: factors associated with perforation. *Pediatrics*. [Research Support, U.S. Gov't, P.H.S.]. 1985 Aug;76(2):301-6.
- [52] Williams N, Bello M. Perforation rate relates to delayed presentation in childhood acute appendicitis. *J R Coll Surg Edinb*. 1998 Apr;43(2):101-2.
- [53] Rasmussen OO, Hoffmann J. Assessment of the reliability of the symptoms and signs of acute appendicitis. *J R Coll Surg Edinb*. [Review]. 1991 Dec;36(6):372-7.
- [54] Ruber M, Andersson M, Petersson BF, Olaison G, Andersson RE, Ekerfelt C. Systemic Th17-like cytokine pattern in gangrenous appendicitis but not in phlegmonous appendicitis. *Surgery*. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. 2010 Mar;147(3):366-72.
- [55] Ruber M, Berg A, Ekerfelt C, Olaison G, Andersson RE. Different cytokine profiles in patients with a history of gangrenous or phlegmonous appendicitis. *Clin Exp Immunol*. [Comparative Study Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. 2006 Jan;143(1):117-24.
- [56] van Wijck K, de Jong JR, van Heurn LW, van der Zee DC. Prolonged antibiotic treatment does not prevent intra-abdominal abscesses in perforated appendicitis. *World J Surg*. 2010 Dec;34(12):3049-53.
- [57] Hung MH, Lin LH, Chen DF. Clinical manifestations in children with ruptured appendicitis. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. [Comparative Study]. 2012 May;28(5):433-5.
- [58] Andersson RE, Hugander AP, Ghazi SH, Ravn H, Offenbartl SK, Nystrom PO, et al. Diagnostic value of disease history, clinical presentation, and inflammatory parameters

- of appendicitis. *World J Surg.* [Comparative Study Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. 1999 Feb;23(2):133-40.
- [59] Gofrit ON, Abu-Dalu K. Perforated appendicitis in the child: contemporary experience. *Isr Med Assoc J.* 2001 Apr;3(4):262-5.
- [60] Bekele A, Mekasha A. Clinical profile and risk factors for perforation of acute appendicitis in children. *East Afr Med J.* 2006 Aug;83(8):434-9.
- [61] Chang YT, Lin JY, Huang YS. Appendicitis in children younger than 3 years of age: an 18-year experience. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci.* 2006 Sep;22(9):432-6.
- [62] Andersson RE. Meta-analysis of the clinical and laboratory diagnosis of appendicitis. *Br J Surg.* [Meta-Analysis Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't Review]. 2004 Jan;91(1):28-37.
- [63] Bjerregaard B, Brynitz S, Holst-Christensen J, Jess P, Kalaja E, Lund-Kristensen J, et al. The reliability of medical history and physical examination in patients with acute abdominal pain. *Methods Inf Med.* [Comparative Study]. 1983 Jan;22(1):15-8.
- [64] Yen K, Karpas A, Pinkerton HJ, Gorelick MH. Interexaminer reliability in physical examination of pediatric patients with abdominal pain. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* [Comparative Study]. 2005 Apr;159(4):373-6.
- [65] Bolton JP, Craven ER, Croft RJ, Menzies-Gow N. An assessment of the value of the white cell count in the management of suspected acute appendicitis. *Br J Surg.* 1975 Nov;62(11):906-8.
- [66] Coleman C, Thompson JE, Jr., Bennion RS, Schmit PJ. White blood cell count is a poor predictor of severity of disease in the diagnosis of appendicitis. *Am Surg.* 1998 Oct;64(10):983-5.
- [67] Burcharth J, Pommergaard HC, Rosenberg J, Gogenur I. Hyperbilirubinemia as a predictor for appendiceal perforation: a systematic review. *Scand J Surg.* 2013 Jun 1;102(2):55-60.
- [68] Erkasap S, Ates E, Ustuner Z, Sahin A, Yilmaz S, Yasar B, et al. Diagnostic value of interleukin-6 and C-reactive protein in acute appendicitis. *Swiss Surg.* [Comparative Study]. 2000;6(4):169-72.
- [69] Peltola H, Ahlqvist J, Rapola J, Rasanen J, Louhimo I, Saarinen M, et al. C-reactive protein compared with white blood cell count and erythrocyte sedimentation rate in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis in children. *Acta Chir Scand.* [Comparative Study Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. 1986 Jan;152:55-8.
- [70] Demircan M, Cetin S, Uguralp S, Sezgin N, Karaman A, Gozukara EM. Plasma D-lactic acid level: a useful marker to distinguish perforated from acute simple appendicitis. *Asian J Surg.* 2004 Oct;27(4):303-5.
- [71] Hennelly KE, Bachur R. Appendicitis update. *Curr Opin Pediatr.* [Review]. 2011 Jun;23(3):281-5.
- [72] Alaadeen DI, Cook M, Chwals WJ. Appendiceal fecalith is associated with early perforation in pediatric patients. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2008 May;43(5):889-92.
- [73] Tsuboi M, Takase K, Kaneda I, Ishibashi T, Yamada T, Kitami M, et al. Perforated and nonperforated appendicitis: defect in enhancing appendiceal wall--depiction with multi-detector row CT. *Radiology.* 2008 Jan;246(1):142-7.
- [74] Bixby SD, Lucey BC, Soto JA, Theysohn JM, Ozonoff A, Varghese JC. Perforated versus nonperforated acute appendicitis: accuracy of multidetector CT detection. *Radiology.* 2006 Dec;241(3):780-6.



- 
- [75] Lander A. The role of imaging in children with suspected appendicitis: the UK perspective. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2007 Jan;37(1):5-9.
- [76] Tompane T, Leong CW, Bush R, Chuang NA, Dansky T, Huang JS. Appropriateness of Radiology Procedures Performed in Children With Gastrointestinal Symptoms and Conditions. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2013 Sep 11.
- [77] Doria AS, Moineddin R, Kellenberger CJ, Epelman M, Beyene J, Schuh S, et al. US or CT for Diagnosis of Appendicitis in Children and Adults? A Meta-Analysis. *Radiology*. [Comparative Study Meta-Analysis Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. 2006 Oct;241(1):83-94.
- [78] Adibe OO, Amin SR, Hansen EN, Chong AJ, Perger L, Keijzer R, et al. An evidence-based clinical protocol for diagnosis of acute appendicitis decreased the use of computed tomography in children. *J Pediatr Surg*. [Comparative Study]. 2011 Jan;46(1):192-6.
- [79] Brenner D, Elliston C, Hall E, Berdon W. Estimated risks of radiation-induced fatal cancer from pediatric CT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. [Research Support, U.S. Gov't, Non-P.H.S. Research Support, U.S. Gov't, P.H.S.]. 2001 Feb;176(2):289-96.
- [80] Wan MJ, Krahn M, Ungar WJ, Caku E, Sung L, Medina LS, et al. Acute appendicitis in young children: cost-effectiveness of US versus CT in diagnosis--a Markov decision analytic model. *Radiology*. 2009 Feb;250(2):378-86.
- [81] Doria AS. Optimizing the role of imaging in appendicitis. *Pediatric radiology*. [Review]. 2009 Apr;39 Suppl 2:S144-8.
- [82] Blumfield E, Nayak G, Srinivasan R, Muranaka MT, Blitman NM, Blumfield A, et al. Ultrasound for differentiation between perforated and nonperforated appendicitis in pediatric patients. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. [Comparative Study]. 2013 May;200(5):957-62.
- [83] Josephson T, Styruud J, Eriksson S. Ultrasonography in acute appendicitis. Body mass index as selection factor for US examination. *Acta Radiol*. [Comparative Study]. 2000 Sep;41(5):486-8.
- [84] Fraser JD, Aguayo P, Sharp SW, Snyder CL, Holcomb GW, 3rd, Ostlie DJ, et al. Physiologic predictors of postoperative abscess in children with perforated appendicitis: subset analysis from a prospective randomized trial. *Surgery*. 2010 May;147(5):729-32.
- [85] Obinwa O, Casidy M, Flynn J. The microbiology of bacterial peritonitis due to appendicitis in children. *Ir J Med Sci*. 2013 Dec 18.
- [86] Chen CY, Chen YC, Pu HN, Tsai CH, Chen WT, Lin CH. Bacteriology of Acute Appendicitis and Its Implication for the Use of Prophylactic Antibiotics. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)*. 2012 Dec 11.
- [87] Yellin AE, Heseltine PN, Berne TV, Appleman MD, Gill MA, Riggio CE, et al. The role of Pseudomonas species in patients treated with ampicillin and Sulbactam for gangrenous and perforated appendicitis. *Surgery, gynecology & obstetrics*. [Clinical Trial Comparative Study Randomized Controlled Trial Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. 1985 Oct;161(4):303-7.
- [88] Madden NP, Hart CA. Streptococcus milleri in appendicitis in children. *J Pediatr Surg*. 1985 Feb;20(1):6-7.

- 
- [89] Dumont R, Cinotti R, Lejus C, Caillon J, Boutoille D, Roquilly A, et al. The Microbiology of Community-acquired Peritonitis in Children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. 2011 Feb;30(2):131-5.
- [90] Dolgin SE, Beck AR, Tartter PI. The risk of perforation when children with possible appendicitis are observed in the hospital. *Surg Gynecol Obstet*. 1992 Oct;175(4):320-4.
- [91] van Heurn LW, Pakarinen MP, Wester T. Contemporary management of abdominal surgical emergencies in infants and children. *Br J Surg*. [Review]. 2014 Jan;101(1):e24-33.
- [92] England RJ, Crabbe DC. Delayed diagnosis of appendicitis in children treated with antibiotics. *Pediatr Surg Int*. 2006 Jun;22(6):541-5.
- [93] Daehlin L. Acute appendicitis during the first three years of life. *Acta Chir Scand*. 1982;148(3):291-4.
- [94] Meiser G, Heinerman M, Boeckl O. [Ultrasonic diagnosis in appendiceal perforation]. *Schweiz Rundsch Med Prax*. [Comparative Study]. 1990 Feb 6;79(6):135-9.
- [95] Neufeld D, Vainrib M, Buklan G, Gutermacher M, Paran H, Werner M, et al. Management of acute appendicitis: an imaging strategy in children. *Pediatr Surg Int*. [Comparative Study]. 2010 Feb;26(2):167-71.
- [96] Ramarajan N, Krishnamoorthi R, Barth R, Ghanouni P, Mueller C, Dannenburg B, et al. An interdisciplinary initiative to reduce radiation exposure: evaluation of appendicitis in a pediatric emergency department with clinical assessment supported by a staged ultrasound and computed tomography pathway. *Acad Emerg Med*. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. 2009 Nov;16(11):1258-65.
- [97] Poortman P, Oostvogel HJ, Bosma E, Lohle PN, Cuesta MA, de Lange-de Klerk ES, et al. Improving diagnosis of acute appendicitis: results of a diagnostic pathway with standard use of ultrasonography followed by selective use of CT. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2009 Mar;208(3):434-41.
- [98] Koning JL, Naheedy JH, Kruk PG. Diagnostic performance of contrast-enhanced MR for acute appendicitis and alternative causes of abdominal pain in children. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2014 Mar 29.
- [99] Anderson M, Collins E. Analgesia for children with acute abdominal pain and diagnostic accuracy. *Arch Dis Child*. [Review]. 2008 Nov;93(11):995-7.
- [100] Ranji SR, Goldman LE, Simel DL, Shojania KG. Do opiates affect the clinical evaluation of patients with acute abdominal pain? *Jama*. [Review]. 2006 Oct 11;296(14):1764-74.
- [101] Green R, Bulloch B, Kabani A, Hancock BJ, Tenenbein M. Early analgesia for children with acute abdominal pain. *Pediatrics*. [Randomized Controlled Trial Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. 2005 Oct;116(4):978-83.
- [102] Sharwood LN, Babl FE. The efficacy and effect of opioid analgesia in undifferentiated abdominal pain in children: a review of four studies. *Paediatr Anaesth*. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't Review]. 2009 May;19(5):445-51.
- [103] Bailey B, Bergeron S, Gravel J, Bussieres JF, Bensoussan A. Efficacy and impact of intravenous morphine before surgical consultation in children with right lower quadrant pain suggestive of appendicitis: a randomized controlled trial. *Ann Emerg Med*. [Randomized Controlled Trial Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. 2007 Oct;50(4):371-8.

- 
- [104] Estel S, Festge OA, Stenger D. [Etiology and therapy of peritonitis in childhood]. *Zentralbl Chir.* [Review]. 1988;113(4):241-8.
- [105] Brook I. Intra-abdominal, retroperitoneal, and visceral abscesses in children. *Eur J Pediatr Surg.* [Review]. 2004 Aug;14(4):265-73.
- [106] Meier DE, Guzzetta PC, Barber RG, Hynan LS, Seetharamaiah R. Perforated appendicitis in children: is there a best treatment? *J Pediatr Surg.* 2003 Oct;38(10):1520-4.
- [107] Snelling CM, Poenaru D, Drover JW. Minimum postoperative antibiotic duration in advanced appendicitis in children: a review. *Pediatr Surg Int.* 2004 Dec;20(11-12):838-45.
- [108] Schein M, Wittmann DH, Lorenz W. Duration of antibiotic treatment in surgical infections of the abdomen. Forum statement: a plea for selective and controlled postoperative antibiotic administration. *Eur J Surg Suppl.* 1996(576):66-9.
- [109] Samuel M, Hosie G, Holmes K. Prospective evaluation of nonsurgical versus surgical management of appendiceal mass. *J Pediatr Surg.* [Clinical Trial Comparative Study Controlled Clinical Trial]. 2002 Jun;37(6):882-6.
- [110] Shipsey MR, O'Donnell B. Conservative management of appendix mass in children. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl.* [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. 1985 Jan;67(1):23-4.
- [111] Surana R, Puri P. Appendiceal mass in children. *Pediatr Surg Int.* 1995;10(2):79-81.
- [112] Puri P, O'Donnell B. Appendicitis in infancy. *J Pediatr Surg.* 1978 Apr;13(2):173-4.
- [113] Vane DW, Fernandez N. Role of interval appendectomy in the management of complicated appendicitis in children. *World J Surg.* 2006 Jan;30(1):51-4.
- [114] Yamini D, Vargas H, Bongard F, Klein S, Stamos MJ. Perforated appendicitis: is it truly a surgical urgency? *Am Surg.* 1998 Oct;64(10):970-5.
- [115] Friedell ML, Perez-Izquierdo M. Is there a role for interval appendectomy in the management of acute appendicitis? *Am Surg.* [Case Reports]. 2000 Dec;66(12):1158-62.
- [116] Brown CV, Abrishami M, Muller M, Velmahos GC. Appendiceal abscess: immediate operation or percutaneous drainage? *Am Surg.* 2003 Oct;69(10):829-32.
- [117] Kaminski A, Liu IL, Applebaum H, Lee SL, Haigh PI. Routine interval appendectomy is not justified after initial nonoperative treatment of acute appendicitis. *Arch Surg.* 2005 Sep;140(9):897-901.
- [118] Puapong D, Lee SL, Haigh PI, Kaminski A, Liu IL, Applebaum H. Routine interval appendectomy in children is not indicated. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2007 Sep;42(9):1500-3.
- [119] Aprahamian CJ, Barnhart DC, Bledsoe SE, Vaid Y, Harmon CM. Failure in the nonoperative management of pediatric ruptured appendicitis: predictors and consequences. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2007 Jun;42(6):934-8; discussion 8.
- [120] Whyte C, Levin T, Harris BH. Early decisions in perforated appendicitis in children: lessons from a study of nonoperative management. *J Pediatr Surg.* [Comparative Study]. 2008 Aug;43(8):1459-63.
- [121] Bufo AJ, Shah RS, Li MH, Cyr NA, Hollabaugh RS, Hixson SD, et al. Interval appendectomy for perforated appendicitis in children. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A.* 1998 Aug;8(4):209-14.
- [122] Neilson IR, Laberge JM, Nguyen LT, Moir C, Doody D, Sonnino RE, et al. Appendicitis in children: current therapeutic recommendations. *J Pediatr Surg.* [Review]. 1990 Nov;25(11):1113-6.

- [123] Surana R, Quinn F, Puri P. Is it necessary to perform appendectomy in the middle of the night in children? *Bmj*. 1993 May 1;306(6886):1168.
- [124] Ure BM, Bax NM, van der Zee DC. Laparoscopy in infants and children: a prospective study on feasibility and the impact on routine surgery. *J Pediatr Surg*. 2000 Aug;35(8):1170-3.
- [125] Oltmann SC, Garcia NM, Ventura B, Mitchell I, Fischer AC. Single-incision laparoscopic surgery: feasibility for pediatric appendectomies. *J Pediatr Surg*. [Comparative Study]. 2010 Jun;45(6):1208-12.
- [126] Solomkin JS, Mazuski JE, Bradley JS, Rodvold KA, Goldstein EJ, Baron EJ, et al. Diagnosis and management of complicated intra-abdominal infection in adults and children: guidelines by the Surgical Infection Society and the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)*. 2010 Feb;11(1):79-109.
- [127] Korndorffer JR, Jr., Fellingner E, Reed W. SAGES guideline for laparoscopic appendectomy. *Surg Endosc*. [Practice Guideline]. 2010 Apr;24(4):757-61.
- [128] Nataraja RM, Teague WJ, Galea J, Moore L, Haddad MJ, Tsang T, et al. Comparison of intraabdominal abscess formation after laparoscopic and open appendectomies in children. *J Pediatr Surg*. [Comparative Study Evaluation Studies Multicenter Study]. 2012 Feb;47(2):317-21.
- [129] Lidar Z, Kuriansky J, Rosin D, Shabtai M, Ayalon A. Laparoscopic interval appendectomy for periappendicular abscess. *Surg Endosc*. 2000 Aug;14(8):764-6.
- [130] Nguyen DB, Silen W, Hodin RA. Interval appendectomy in the laparoscopic era. *J Gastrointest Surg*. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. 1999 Mar-Apr;3(2):189-93.
- [131] Lane JS, Schmit PJ, Chandler CF, Bennion RS, Thompson JE, Jr. Ileocecectomy is definitive treatment for advanced appendicitis. *Am Surg*. 2001 Dec;67(12):1117-22.
- [132] Kokoska ER, Silen ML, Tracy TF, Jr., Dillon PA, Cradock TV, Weber TR. Perforated appendicitis in children: risk factors for the development of complications. *Surgery*. 1998 Oct;124(4):619-25; discussion 25-6.
- [133] David IB, Buck JR, Filler RM. Rational use of antibiotics for perforated appendicitis in childhood. *J Pediatr Surg*. 1982 Oct;17(5):494-500.
- [134] Horst M, Eich G, Sacher P. [Postappendectomy abscess--the role of fecoliths]. *Swiss Surg*. 2001;7(5):205-8.
- [135] Abularrage CJ, Bloom S, Bruno DA, Goldfarb A, Abularrage JJ, Chahine AA. Laparoscopic drainag of postappendectomy- retained fecalith and intra-abdominal abscess in the pediatric population. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A*. [Case Reports Review]. 2008 Aug;18(4):644-50.
- [136] Hormann M, Kreuzer S, Sacher P, Eich GF. [Abscesses after appendectomy due to intraoperative retaining of fecalith]. *Rofo*. [Comparative Study]. 2001 Aug;173(8):720-3.
- [137] Bratzler DW, Dellinger EP, Olsen KM, Perl TM, Auwaerter PG, Bolon MK, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for antimicrobial prophylaxis in surgery. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)*. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. 2013 Feb;14(1):73-156.
- [138] Miyano G, Urao M, Lane G, Kato Y, Okazaki T, Yamataka A. Appendiceal stump closure in children with complicated appendicitis: a prospective analysis of endoloops versus endostaples. *Asian J Endosc Surg*. [Clinical Trial Comparative Study]. 2011 Aug;4(3):116-9.

- 
- [139] St Peter SD, Adibe OO, Iqbal CW, Fike FB, Sharp SW, Juang D, et al. Irrigation versus suction alone during laparoscopic appendectomy for perforated appendicitis: a prospective randomized trial. *Ann Surg*. [Comparative Study Randomized Controlled Trial]. 2012 Oct;256(4):581-5.
- [140] Wright JE. Controlled trial of wound infiltration with bupivacaine for postoperative pain relief after appendectomy in children. *Br J Surg*. [Clinical Trial Randomized Controlled Trial]. 1993 Jan;80(1):110-1.
- [141] Grosfeld JL, Solit RW. Prevention of wound infection in perforated appendicitis: experience with delayed primary wound closure. *Ann Surg*. 1968 Nov;168(5):891-5.
- [142] Cruse PJ, Foord R. A five-year prospective study of 23,649 surgical wounds. *Arch Surg*. 1973 Aug;107(2):206-10.
- [143] Curran TJ, Muenchow SK. The treatment of complicated appendicitis in children using peritoneal drainage: results from a public hospital. *J Pediatr Surg*. 1993 Feb;28(2):204-8.
- [144] Burnweit C, Bilik R, Shandling B. Primary closure of contaminated wounds in perforated appendicitis. *J Pediatr Surg*. 1991 Dec;26(12):1362-5.
- [145] Lund DP, Murphy EU. Management of perforated appendicitis in children: a decade of aggressive treatment. *J Pediatr Surg*. 1994 Aug;29(8):1130-3; discussion 3-4.
- [146] Surana R, Puri P. Primary wound closure after perforated appendicitis in children. *Br J Surg*. 1994 Mar;81(3):440.
- [147] Fishman SJ, Pelosi L, Klavon SL, O'Rourke EJ. Perforated appendicitis: prospective outcome analysis for 150 children. *J Pediatr Surg*. 2000 Jun;35(6):923-6.
- [148] Emil S, Laberge JM, Mikhail P, Baican L, Flageole H, Nguyen L, et al. Appendicitis in children: a ten-year update of therapeutic recommendations. *J Pediatr Surg*. 2003 Feb;38(2):236-42.
- [149] Serour F, Efrati Y, Klin B, Barr J, Gorenstein A, Vinograd I. Subcuticular skin closure as a standard approach to emergency appendectomy in children: prospective clinical trial. *World J Surg*. [Clinical Trial]. 1996 Jan;20(1):38-42.
- [150] Fallon SC, Brandt ML, Hassan SF, Wesson DE, Rodriguez JR, Lopez ME. Evaluating the effectiveness of a discharge protocol for children with advanced appendicitis. *J Surg Res*. [Validation Studies]. 2013 Sep;184(1):347-51.
- [151] Henry MC, Gollin G, Islam S, Sylvester K, Walker A, Silverman BL, et al. Matched analysis of nonoperative management vs immediate appendectomy for perforated appendicitis. *J Pediatr Surg*. [Comparative Study Multicenter Study Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. 2007 Jan;42(1):19-23; discussion 4.
- [152] Andersson RE. The role of antibiotic therapy in the management of acute appendicitis. *Curr Infect Dis Rep*. 2013 Feb;15(1):10-3.
- [153] Weber TR, Keller MA, Bower RJ, Spinner G, Vierling K. Is delayed operative treatment worth the trouble with perforated appendicitis in children? *Am J Surg*. 2003 Dec;186(6):685-8; discussion 8-9.
- [154] Bagi P, Dueholm S, Karstrup S. Percutaneous drainage of appendiceal abscess. An alternative to conventional treatment. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 1987 Jul;30(7):532-5.
- [155] vanSonnenberg E, Wittich GR, Casola G, Neff CC, Hoyt DB, Polansky AD, et al. Periappendiceal abscesses: percutaneous drainage. *Radiology*. 1987 Apr;163(1):23-6.

- [156] Roach JP, Partrick DA, Bruny JL, Allshouse MJ, Karrer FM, Ziegler MM. Complicated appendicitis in children: a clear role for drainage and delayed appendectomy. *Am J Surg*. 2007 Dec;194(6):769-72; discussion 72-3.
- [157] Hogan MJ. Appendiceal abscess drainage. *Tech Vasc Interv Radiol*. [Review]. 2003 Dec;6(4):205-14.
- [158] Ein SH, Shandling B. Is interval appendectomy necessary after rupture of an appendiceal mass? *J Pediatr Surg*. 1996 Jun;31(6):849-50.
- [159] Price MR, Haase GM, Sartorelli KH, Meagher DP, Jr. Recurrent appendicitis after initial conservative management of appendiceal abscess. *J Pediatr Surg*. [Case Reports]. 1996 Feb;31(2):291-4.
- [160] Gahukamble DB, Gahukamble LD. Surgical and pathological basis for interval appendectomy after resolution of appendicular mass in children. *J Pediatr Surg*. 2000 Mar;35(3):424-7.
- [161] Fisher M, Meates-Dennis M. Is interval appendectomy necessary after successful conservative treatment of appendiceal mass in children? *Arch Dis Child*. [Review]. 2008 Jul;93(7):631-3.
- [162] Castello Gonzalez M, Bueno Rodriguez JC, Hernandez Moore E, Aguilar Atanay D. Predictors of recurrent appendicitis after non-operative management of children with perforated appendicitis presenting with an appendicular inflammatory mass. *Arch Dis Child*. 2014 Feb;99(2):154-7.
- [163] Doede T, Foss HD, Waldschmidt J. Carcinoid tumors of the appendix in children--epidemiology, clinical aspects and procedure. *Eur J Pediatr Surg*. 2000 Dec;10(6):372-7.
- [164] Williams NM, Jackson D, Everson NW, Johnstone JM. Is the incidence of acute appendicitis really falling? *Ann R Coll Surg Engl*. 1998 Mar;80(2):122-4.
- [165] St Peter SD, Tsao K, Spilde TL, Holcomb GW, 3rd, Sharp SW, Murphy JP, et al. Single daily dosing ceftriaxone and metronidazole vs standard triple antibiotic regimen for perforated appendicitis in children: a prospective randomized trial. *J Pediatr Surg*. [Comparative StudyRandomized Controlled Trial]. 2008 Jun;43(6):981-5.
- [166] St Peter SD, Little DC, Calkins CM, Murphy JP, Andrews WS, Holcomb GW, 3rd, et al. A simple and more cost-effective antibiotic regimen for perforated appendicitis. *J Pediatr Surg*. [Comparative Study]. 2006 May;41(5):1020-4.
- [167] Rodriguez JC, Buckner D, Schoenike S, Gomez-Marin O, Oiticica C, Thompson WR. Comparison of two antibiotic regimens in the treatment of perforated appendicitis in pediatric patients. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther*. [Comparative Study]. 2000 Oct;38(10):492-9.
- [168] Investigators of the Piperacillin/Tazobactam Intra-abdominal Infection Study Group. Results of the North American trial of piperacillin/tazobactam compared with clindamycin and gentamicin in the treatment of severe intra-abdominal infections. *Eur J Surg Suppl*. [Clinical Trial Comparative Study Multicenter Study Randomized Controlled Trial]. 1994(573):61-6.
- [169] Nadler EP, Reblock KK, Ford HR, Gaines BA. Monotherapy versus multi-drug therapy for the treatment of perforated appendicitis in children. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)*. [Comparative Study]. 2003 Winter;4(4):327-33.

- 
- [170] Maltezou HC, Nikolaidis P, Lebesii E, Dimitriou L, Androulakakis E, Kafetzis DA. Piperacillin/Tazobactam versus cefotaxime plus metronidazole for treatment of children with intra-abdominal infections requiring surgery. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. [Clinical Trial Comparative Study Randomized Controlled Trial]. 2001 Sep; 20(9):643-6.